



Not gold but only men can make
A people great and strong;
Men who for truth and honor's sake
Stand fast and suffer long.
Brave men who work while others sleep,
Who dare while others fly . . .
They build a nation's pillars deep
And lift them to the sky.
— RALPH WALDO EMERSON

Common Sense®

Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

Conde McGinley
1890 • FOUNDER • 1983

Issue No. 599, February 15, 1972

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, N.J.

Subscription \$3.00 per year

U.S.A. Co-Guilty of Cold-Blooded Murder of 500,000 Croatian Christians in Yugoslavia

The Dead Speak

Bleiberg-Maribor Massacres

By PAUL PULITZER

The Bleiberg-Maribor Massacres were one of the most vicious post-World War II purges of anti-Bolsheviks. For dubious reasons of state, the story of these genocidal mass murders of disarmed military personnel and civilian refugees from Bolshevik terror was suppressed by the British and American governments. Nevertheless, as murder will out, they remain as macabre reminders from the ideo-political aftermath of World War II that, if the United States and its West European allies persist in their obsessive quest for equitable accommodations with the Sino-Soviets, they will eventually perish — individually and collectively. What little survives today out of the former glory and magnificence of Western civilization and culture, will also die with them. Under the prevailing circumstances, this dire fate is inevitable.

This heinous crime against humanity, this monstrous act of genocidal extermination, happened. The evil deed is done. The dead can not be resurrected, only the memory of how and why they died. Nor is it possible, in a world gone stark, raving mad, to bring the perpetrators to the bar of international justice. The Bleiberg-Maribor Massacres, however, are painfully significant today, particularly in direct relation to the lunatical precedents established by the infamous Nuremberg Trials, the current advocacy of a universal Genocide Treaty by certain member-nations of the iniquitous UN who are actually guilty themselves of having committed crimes against humanity or of condoning such reprehensible acts of genocidal criminality, and, above all, in relation to the present rumbles of volcanic discontent emanating out of Yugoslavia and the obvious flirtation between London and Washington with Belgrade, which defies common sense with mind-staggering impact. Consequently, at this time, the cold-blooded murders at Bleiberg and Maribor silently cry out for a public hearing particularly in front of the American people.

Infamy at Nuremberg

The Bleiberg-Maribor Massacres occurred because of the pseudo-legal precedents for permissive criminality set by the Nuremberg Trials and because of the secret agreement entered into by the United States, Great Britain, and the Soviet Union at Potsdam whereby Europe was arbitrarily split into two separate spheres of influence without any consideration whatsoever given to the millions of innocent peoples involved. For this reason, it is necessary to review briefly the significance of the Nuremberg Trials in direct relation to the present sig-

Come Dance With Me!



Marshal Tito

Richard Nixon

Josip Broz, alias Marshal Tito, gangster, mass murderer, warmly embraced by the President on his recent trip to U.S. Nixon referred to Tito as "a world statesman of the first rank," and Tito greeted Nixon as "my esteemed friend." While we allegedly war on crime at home, the most world infamous criminals are wined and dined at the White House. Indeed, no red flag flies over the White House, but that is about all that is missing to make U.S.A. officially a communist nation.

nificance of these mass-murders of helpless humanity. The unconscionable deal made between the Allied powers at the Potsdam Conference need not be rehashed because, public denials to the contrary notwithstanding, the entire free world knows that, because of it, Europe was split approximately along the line of East-West demarcation subsequently marked by the Iron Curtain and the Berlin Wall. By means of this agreement, the Soviet Union and its satellite states were left free and clear to impose their totalitarian will on captive peoples by fair means or foul with complete impunity to internal reprisals and with guaranteed immunity from outside interference.

The Nuremberg Trials established inhuman precedents in the rules governing warfare between nations. The Nuremberg Trials represent the Crime of the 20th Century. No amount of whitewashing can ever remove this stain on the national escutcheons of the nations sitting in judgment on National Socialist Germany at Nuremberg,



for each compounded alleged criminality with actual criminality.

By the Nuremberg precedents, the victor in war is automatically assumed to be innocent of war crimes, while the defeated is summarily adjudged guilty. Moreover, no precedent was set covering the origins of war. Consequently, even if the victor in war perpetrated it, the defeated is nevertheless considered guilty of having provoked it. These asinine rulings instantaneously absolved the Allies of culpability for war crimes and simultaneously presented the Soviet Union and its captive nations with an exclusive franchise to commit mass-murder in order to consolidate their grip on the peoples enslaved. These rulings led to the arbitrary condemnation and conviction of the entire political, military, academic, industrial, economic, and social structure of Adolf Hitler's Third Reich, as well as the execution or imprisonment of most of its top-echelon leadership. This pseudo-legalistic insanity was followed up by a 27-year long witch-hunt for and prosecution of former Nazi officials not captured and tried at Nuremberg, the diabolical implanting of a sense of national guilt and shame in an entire new generation of West Germans, the payment of reparations by West Germany to World Jewry in general and the State of Israel in particular, and the incredible spectacle of a "Lone Prisoner of Spandau" — Rudolph Hess. Conversely, although many former Nazis found refuge behind the Iron Curtain — including Martin Bormann — they were NOT pursued, East Germany was NOT coerced into paying reparations to World Jewry and to the State of Israel, and almost all of Japan's and Italy's World War II leadership were allowed to go scot-free, even though both countries were allied with Nazi Germany in a tripartite pact. This inexplicable leniency and generosity, under the circumstances that prevailed at the time, leads to the logical assumption that, whereas the territory arbitrarily assigned to the Soviet Union, as well as the lands encompassing the U.S.S.R. itself, were inviolable, no witch-hunt or demands for reparations were possible. And, whereas Japan was NOT confronted with the problem of a "Final Solution to the Jewish Question," and Italy's anti-Semitic performance record was spotty in comparison to the effort expended by the Third Reich, neither country was considered eligible for instantaneous conviction and punishment at Nuremberg.

On August 8, 1945, the London Conference, which preceded the Nuremberg Trials, was convened to define the constitution, jurisdiction, and functions of the INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL. It

(Continued on Page 2, Column 1)